
Effect of pollination methods on pollination efficiency and fruiting of Barhy date palm

El-Salhy, A.M.¹, A.S. Abdallah², A.H. Salem³ and H.M.F. El-Nagar²

¹Pomology Dept., Fac. Agric., Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

²Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

³Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric. & Natural Resources, Aswan University

Abstract

Pollination is a critical process in date palms production series that affects yield and fruit quality. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of different pollination methods (dusty pollen grain powder immediately after spraying with proline plus either citric acid, ascorbic acid or sugar solution) on yield and fruit quality of Barhy date palm. This study was conducted at El- Mataana Experimental Res. Station Hort. Res. Instit., Agric. Res. Center Esna district Luxor governorate, Egypt during 2021, 2022 and 2023 seasons.

Results showed that most beneficial treatment in this concern due to dusty female spathes with 20% pollen grains powder immediately after spraying with proline plus either citric acid or sugar solution) which gave economical yield with good fruit quality. Moreover, it improves the pollination efficiency where to save time, effort, labour and cost and more practical to it is a promising technique in the future.

Key words: Barhy date palm, pollen grain suspension, pollination, fruit quality.

Introduction

Date palm is a dioecious, perennial, diploid and nano-cotyledonous plant [1]. It is one of the main crops to grow in arid land of most countries of the Middle East and North Africa and affects the high proportion of the economics of these countries [2].

Egypt is considered among the top ten date producers. Zaghloul, Samany, Hayany are

the most economically important date palm cultivars grown in Egypt. Date palm is grown in Egypt in both Nile Valley, and desert districts. The total area and number of females reached 177102 feddans and 15710250 palms. The produced yield reached 1847629 tons, according to [3].

Since the date palm is a dioecious crop, the pollination process is carried out by wind or insects, which in turn leads to low quality fruit in dioecious species like the date palm, pollination is significant for fruit setting [4]. It is regarded as one of the most critical processes in date production, as fruit yield and quality are dependent on the proper application of pollen [5,6,7,8]. Therefore, to obtain a commercial production, artificial pollination methods must be used [9,10]. The most imperative yield of date development is a consequence of high fruit set percentage. The achievement of this rate relies upon a blend of a few variables, i.e. the quality of the pollen source, the pollination efficiency process, the compatibility of males and females as well as environmental conditions, irrigation, soil and fertilization [11,12,13].

Building up a pollination procedure and change from the traditional method of pollinator to strategy pollinated by pollen grain-water suspension spray prompted improve the fruit set is perfect degree without thinning process has likewise improved the fruit quality. The utilization of the technique to pollinate with pollen suspension with water reduce labor effort and costs of thinning process [9,13,14,15,16].

Mixing pollen grains with various carriers, nutrient minerals and ascorbic acid were beneficial in establishing mechanical pollination and obtaining an economical yield with good fruit quality. Also, it is responsible for enhancing pollination efficiency [9,10,14,15,16,17,18].

So, the present study was done to assess various method for pollination effects on pollination efficiency and fruiting of Barhy date palm.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at El- Mataana Experimental Res. Station Hort. Res. Instit., Agric. Res. Center Esna district Luxor governorate during three consecutive seasons of 2021, 2022 and 2023 in which 10 tissue culture derived off shoot of Barhy date palms were

selected for achieving this study. The palms were planted at 6 x 7 meters apart (100 palms / fed.). The texture of soil is silty clay. The selected palms were at the same age and uniform in vigour. These palms were 28 years old at the start of study, good physical conditions and free from insects, damages and diseases. The selected palms were irrigated through surface irrigation system. Pruning was performed to maintain leaf bunch ratio at 8:1. The number of female spathes per palm was adjusted to 12 spathes by removing excess earliest, latest and small bunches. Pollination of the experimental palms was uniformly performed to avoid residues of metaxenia.

The number of spathes per palm were adjusted to 12 for achieving of the following six treatments:

- 1- Spraying proline and citric acid at 1000 ppm, then dusting of 20% pollen grain powder.
- 2- Spraying proline and sugar solution at 1000 ppm, then dusting of 20% pollen grain powder.
- 3- Spraying proline and ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm, then dusting of 20% pollen grain powder.
- 4- Spraying ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm, then dusting of 20% pollen grain powder
- 5- Spraying ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm, then dusting of 10% pollen grain powder
- 6- Hand pollination by inserting 8-10 strands/spathe (traditional hand)

These treatments were applied on the same palm. pollination was uniformed in respect of source and method to avoid residues of metaxenia.

The design of the experiment was completely randomized with ten replicates, two spathe per each.

Hand pollination as well as pollination treatment dusting were applied at third day of spathe cracking. dusting of pollen powder is thoroughly applied to the spathe by small hand spreader. To prevent contamination of pollens, after the dusting of pollen grain powder, every spathe was bagged by paper bags which is removed after four weeks.

The following parameters were determined to evaluate the effects of different pollination methods on fruiting.

Yield components and fruit quality:

Initial fruit set and fruit retention percentage were evaluated one month after

pollination and at harvest time, respectively. Five female strands per bunch were randomly selected from each replication, then the percentages were calculated as following equation

$$\text{Fruit set (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of fruit setting on the strand}}{\text{Total number of flower per strand}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Fruit retention (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of retained fruits on the strand}}{\text{Number of retained fruit} + \text{Number of flowers scars}} \times 100$$

At the harvest time, bunches of each palm were picked and weighted, then the yield/palm (kg) was recorded.

Sample of 50 fruits were taken randomly from each replicate to determine some physical and chemical properties.

These characteristics included the determination of fruit weight, fruit dimension, flesh percentage and fruit moisture percentage as well as TSS, sugar content, tannins and total acidity as outlined [19].

All the obtained data were tabulated and analyzed to the proper statistical analysis according to [20,21]. The differences between treatment means were compared by Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level of probability [22].

Results

1- Yield components:

Data illustrated in tables (1 & 2) show the effect of dusting pollen grains powder different concentration (10 or 20%) and ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm. As well as dusting pollen grain powder at 20% with proline plus either citric acid, sugar solution or ascorbic acid (1000 ppm) on fruit set, fruit retention percentages and bunch weight of Barhy date palm during 2021, 2022 and 2023 seasons. It is worth to mention that the fruit set, fruit retention percentages and bunch weight reacted almost similarly in the two studied seasons.

Fruit set, fruit retention percentages and bunch weight were significantly affected by different hand pollination methods during the three studied seasons. There are insignificant differences in fruit set and fruit retention percentages as well as bunch weight due to pollination by using either pollen grains dusting at 20% (T4) or 10% (T5) plus ascorbic acid. On other hand, pollination by pollen grains dusting at 20% after spraying with proline and citric acid (T1), with sugar solution (T2) or plus ascorbic acid (T3) significantly

increased these traits and had the highest values compared to traditional hand pollination (T6).

As for spraying, either citric acid, sugar solution or ascorbic acid with proline at 1000 ppm, before dusting pollen grain powder, data show that citric acid is the more effective followed by sugar solution and ascorbic acid. There are no significant differences due to use ascorbic acid or sugar solution acid. On other hand, using 10% pollen dusting plus ascorbic acid significantly decreased these studied traits compared to using 20% pollen grain dusting and proline plus either citric acid, sugar solution or ascorbic acid.

In this respect, it seems that the reduction in fruit set percentage and bunch weight could be explained as a result of decrease in pollen grain concentration in suspension. These findings emphasized that there is a positive correlation between the pollen grain amount and initial fruit set percentage.

Moreover, pollination dusting pollen grain powder 20% (T4) failed to induce any significant on fruit retention and bunch weight compared with using grain powder at 10% (T5). Whereas, the bunch weight significantly increased as response to pollen grain powder at 20% and proline plus either citric acid (T1), solution sugar (T2) or ascorbic acid (T3) compared with traditional hand pollination (T6).

The obtained fruit retention was (58.55 57.62, 56.92, 53.92, 51.92 and 40.42 % as an av. the three studied seasons) and bunch weight was (18.81, 18.63, 18.03, 17.02, 15.83 and 14.13% as an av. the three studied seasons) due to pollinate by dusting pollen grains powder at 20% after spraying proline and citric acid at 1000 ppm (T1), by dusting pollen grains powder at 20% after spraying proline and sugar solution at 1000 ppm (T2), by dusting pollen grains powder at 20% after spraying proline and ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm (T3), by dusting pollen grains powder at 20% after spraying ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm (T4), by dusting pollen grains powder at 10% after spraying ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm (T5) and traditional pollination (T6), respectively. Hence the increment percentage of bunch weight attained (33.12, 29.94, 27.60, 20.45 and 12.20%) as an av. the three studied seasons) due to T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅ compared to T₆, respectively.

Thus, it could be concluded that there is a reduction on bunch weight with reducing

the pollen grain powder concentration where reduction on bunch weight was associated with decreasing the pollen grain powder dusting from 10 to 20%.

Table (1): Effect of pollination methods on yield components:

| Treatment | Fruit set (%) | | | | Fruit retention (%) | | | | Bunch weight (Kg) | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M |
| T1 | 75.33A | 77.28A | 79.45A | 77.35A | 56.63A | 60.85A | 58.16A | 58.55A | 18.43 A | 19.15A | 18.85A | 18.81A |
| T2 | 74.28A | 76.25A | 78.86A | 76.46A | 56.91A | 59.39A | 56.55A | 57.62A | 18.11AB | 18.65AB | 18.31A | 18.36A |
| T3 | 71.88AB | 74.55AB | 76.96AB | 74.46A | 56.85A | 58.28A | 55.63A | 56.92B | 17.88AB | 18.10AB | 18.10A | 18.03A |
| T4 | 67.55B | 70.48B | 72.79B | 70.27B | 53.13B | 55.46B | 31.18B | 53.92B | 16.83B | 17.29B | 16.93B | 17.02B |
| T5 | 63.90B | 68.59B | 68.60B | 68.83B | 49.36C | 52.90C | 50.79C | 51.92B | 15.49B | 16.11B | 15.90B | 15.83C |
| Control (T6) | 55.31C | 58.11C | 60.23C | 57.88C | 39.25D | 41.89D | 40.11D | 40.42C | 13.68C | 14.16C | 14.55C | 14.13D |
| LSD at 0.05 | 5.89 | 5.93 | 6.27 | 3.66 | 2.38 | 2.64 | 2.91 | 2.42 | 1.39 | 1.81 | 1.18 | 0.91 |

Table (2): Effect of pollination methods on yield/ palm and some fruit quality (weight and length):

| Treatment | Yield/ palm (kg) | | | | Fruit weight (g) | | | | Fruit length (cm) | | | |
|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M |
| T1 | 221.16A | 229.80A | 226.20 A | 225.72A | 14.53A | 15.66A | 15.51A | 15.23A | 2.78A | 3.08A | 3.01 A | 2.96A |
| T2 | 217.32A | 223.80AB | 219.72A | 220.28A | 14.36A | 15.51A | 15.30A | 15.06A | 2.75A | 3.04A | 2.99A | 2.93A |
| T3 | 214.56A | 222.0AB | 217.20AB | 217.92A | 15.45A | 15.60A | 15.46A | 15.50A | 2.78A | 3.10A | 3.03A | 2.97A |
| T4 | 201.96B | 207.48B | 203.16B | 204.20B | 14.50A | 15.69A | 15.50A | 15.23A | 2.80A | 3.05A | 3.05A | 2.97A |
| T5 | 185.88C | 193.32B | 190.80B | 190.00C | 14.39A | 15.58A | 15.43A | 15.13A | 2.76A | 3.05A | 2.97A | 2.93A |
| Control (T6) | 164.16D | 169.92C | 174.60C | 169.56D | 12.81B | 13.81B | 13.65B | 13.41B | 2.53B | 2.81B | 2.77B | 2.70B |
| LSD at 0.05 | 15.48 | 16.53 | 16.18 | 9.78 | 0.52 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.05 |

Table (3): Effect of fertilization on some fruit quality (diameter, flesh and moisture).

| Treatment | fruit diameter (cm) | | | | Flesh (%) | | | | Fruit moisture (%) | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M |
| T1 | 2.45A | 2.68A | 2.63A | 2.59A | 92.86A | 93.14A | 92.35A | 92.78A | 50.68B | 52.13B | 51.24B | 51.35C |
| T2 | 2.40A | 2.65A | 2.60A | 2.55A | 92.68A | 2.96A | 92.28A | 92.64A | 51.11B | 52.54B | 51.48B | 51.71BC |
| T3 | 2.44A | 2.70A | 2.63A | 2.59A | 92.91A | 93.10A | 92.30A | 92.77A | 51.43B | 52.88B | 51.69B | 52.00BC |
| T4 | 2.46A | 2.70A | 2.63A | 2.60A | 92.53A | 93.26A | 92.58A | 92.79A | 51.82B | 53.19B | 52.25B | 52.42BC |
| T5 | 2.43A | 2.65A | 2.60A | 2.56A | 92.61A | 92.93A | 92.11A | 92.55A | 51.96B | 53.31B | 52.34B | 52.54B |
| Control (T6) | 2.26B | 2.50B | 2.39B | 2.45B | 90.38B | 90.25B | 89.33B | 89.87B | 53.67A | 55.22A | 54.18A | 54.36A |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 2.08 | 1.98 | 2.28 | 1.28 | 1.65 | 1.79 | 1.80 | 1.10 |

Table (4): Effect of fertilization on some fruit quality (TSS, total and reducing sugar):

| Treatment | TSS(%) | | | | total sugar(%) | | | | reducing sugar(%) | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M |
| T1 | 41.12A | 39.25A | 41.52A | 40.63A | 34.91A | 33.16A | 34.90A | 34.32A | 26.48A | 24.16A | 23.63A | 25.42A |
| T2 | 40.28A | 39.11A | 41.16A | 40.36A | 34.70A | 32.97A | 34.85A | 34.17A | 26.32A | 24.10A | 25.46A | 25.29A |
| T3 | 40.34A | 39.15A | 41.38A | 40.29A | 34.59A | 33.10A | 34.62A | 34.10A | 26.40A | 24.16A | 25.22A | 25.26A |
| T4 | 40.11A | 38.85A | 41.10A | 40.02A | 34.35A | 32.78A | 34.48A | 33.87A | 26.13A | 23.97A | 25.19A | 25.10A |
| T5 | 39.81A | 39.10A | 41.50A | 40.29A | 34.61A | 32.96A | 34.80A | 34.12A | 23.96A | 23.86A | 25.53A | 25.12A |
| Control (T6) | 38.83B | 37.71B | 39.18B | 38.52B | 33.10B | 31.11B | 32.52B | 32.24B | 25.20B | 23.77B | 23.90B | 24.10B |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.25 | 1.10 | 1.44 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.93 | 1.81 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 0.49 |

Table (5): Effect of fertilization on some fruit quality (non-reducing, acidity and Tannins).

| Treatment | non-reducing | | | | acidity | | | | Tannins | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | M |
| T1 | 8.23A | 8.80A | 9.10A | 8.70A | 0.288B | 0.299B | 0.278B | 0.288C | 0.133B | 0.138B | 0.132B | 0.134C |
| T2 | 8.30A | 8.87A | 9.39A | 8.85A | 0.292B | 0.307B | 0.288B | 0.296BC | 0.138B | 0.143B | 0.136B | 0.139BC |
| T3 | 8.19A | 8.94A | 9.40A | 8.84A | 0.298B | 0.314B | 0.294B | 0.302BC | 0.141B | 0.146B | 0.138B | 0.142BC |
| T4 | 8.22A | 8.81A | 9.29A | 8.77A | 0.302B | 0.318B | 0.296B | 0.305BC | 0.144B | 0.148B | 0.141B | 0.144B |
| T5 | 8.0AB | 9.0A | 8.77AB | 8.42B | 0.310B | 0.325B | 0.301B | 0.312B | 0.145B | 0.151B | 0.143B | 0.146B |
| Control (T6) | 7.90B | 7.94B | 8.62B | 8.15C | 0.338A | 0.356A | 0.336A | 0.343A | 0.161A | 0.168A | 0.158A | 0.162A |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.024 | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.018 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 0.008 |

Fruit quality:**1- Physical fruit properties:**

Data presented in tables (2 & 3) show the effect of dusting pollen grains powder different concentration (10 or 20%) and ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm. As well as dusting pollen grain powder at 20% with proline plus either citric acid, sugar solution or ascorbic acid (1000 ppm) on physical fruit properties of Barhy date palm during 2021, 2022 and 2023 seasons. It is obvious that results took similar trend during the three studied seasons. It was clearly noticed that there was a positive relationship between both improving the fruit physical characteristics in terms of increasing fruit weight, fruit dimension and flesh percentage in one side and pollination by dusting pollen grains powder at 20% with spraying proline plus either citric acid, sugar solution or ascorbic acid (1000 ppm) in the other side compared with traditional hand pollination (control). The improving on these characteristics was associated with dusting the pollen grain powder immediately after spraying proline plus citric acid or sugar solutions.

Moreover, there was an increasing on the fruit weight was recorded with pollination after spraying proline plus either citric acid, sugar solutions or ascorbic acid. Such increase was significantly increased with using pollen grain powder at 10 or 20% with any additions compared to control. The heaviest fruit were detected on palms pollinated with pollen grain powder at 20% with any additions.

The recorded fruit weight were (15.23, 15.06, 15.50, 15.23, 15.13 and 14.41g as an av. of the three studied seasons) due to T₁ to T₆, respectively. Hence, the increment percentage of fruit weight due to use pollen grain powder over control were attained (5.69, 4.51, 7.56, 5.69 and 5.00% as an av. of the three studied seasons) due to T₁ to T₅ compared to T₆, respectively. No significant differences in fruit weight were observed due to use pollen grain powder concentration namely 10 or 20% pollen grain powder.

2- Fruit chemical constituents:

It is evident from data in tables (4 & 5) that the pollination by dusting pollen grains

powder different concentration (10 or 20%) and ascorbic acid at 1000 ppm. As well as dusting pollen grain powder at 20% with proline plus either citric acid, sugar solution or ascorbic acid (1000 ppm) significantly improved the fruit chemical constituents in terms of increasing the total soluble solids and sugar contents and reduction the moisture content percentage, total acidity and tannins content compared to pollination by traditional hand pollination.

The recorded total soluble solids were (40.63, 40.36, 40.29, 40.02, 40.29 and 38.57 % as an av. of the three studied seasons) due to T₁ to T₆, respectively. Hence the increment percentage of total soluble solids attained (5.34, 4.64, 4.46, 3.76 and 4.46%) due to T₁ to T₅ compared to T₆, respectively.

On the other hand, data in table (4 & 5) showed that using previously pollination methods significantly reduced the moisture and tannins contents and total acidity compared to use traditional hand pollination.

The improvement of these fruit traits was associated with dusting of pollen grain powder concentration at 10 or 20 % after spraying citric acid (T₅ & T₄), as well as, proline plus either citric acid (T₁), sugar solution (T₂) or ascorbic acid (T₃). Dusting of pollen grain powder concentration 20 % after spraying, proline plus either citric acid or , sugar solution give the highest values of total soluble solids and sugar contents and lowest values of moisture and tannin contents and total acidity, whereas using traditional hand pollination gave the minimum values of total soluble solids and sugar contents and highest values of moisture and tannin contents and total acidity.

So it could be said that the pollination by dusting pollen grain powder at 20% with proline plus either citric acid, sugar solution or ascorbic acid (1000 ppm) give the highest yield with good dates as well as improve the pollination efficiency

Discussion

Pollination is considered the most important difficult and expensive practice to ensure good yield in date palms. The limited quantity of pollen grains are the basis to justify the use of mechanical pollination by sprayers and dusters. The positive action of using pollen with carriers on yield and fruit quality was mainly attributed to their important role in

enhancing the efficiency of pollination and fertilization. The mechanical pollination requires mixing the pollen grains with a bulky material to minimize the amount needed of pollen grains. This bulky material must be available, cheap, and with specific gravity close to that of the pollen grains in order to obtain homogeneous mixture [23,24,25].

The protective of ascorbic acid on tissues from oxidation as well as the positive action of it on enhancing the diversion of cells and biosynthesis of carbohydrates could explain the present results [26].

Amino acids are one of the most widely applied biostimulants in agriculture field. They are substances that promote plant growth, increase nutrient availability, and enhance quality attributes. Moreover, amino acids can act as precursors to produce secondary metabolites and signaling molecules in plant cell under stressed and non-stressed conditions [27,28,29]. In this regard, several studies reported the positive effect of amino acids on improving fruit attributes and yield [30,31].

These findings were supported by the results [17,23] who remanded that using the combining pollen grains with boric acid, ascorbic acid or zinc suspension as spray for date palms pollination. Such pollination method increased the yield and improved fruit physical and chemical properties.

Conclusion

On the light of previous results, it could be concluded that dusting of 20% pollen grains powder immediately after spraying 1000 ppm proline either citric acid or sugar solution lead to obtain a considerable yield with good fruit quality, in additional improve the pollination efficiency

References

- [1] Zhao, Y., R. Williams, C.S. Prakash and G. He (2012). Identification and characterization of gene-based SSR markers in date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). BMC Plant Biol 12: 237.
- [2] Food and Agriculture Organization "FAO" (2018). Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Year Book, FAO. Rome Italy.
- [3] M.A.L.R. (2022). Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation Publishers Economic

Affair – Sector.

- [4] **Akhavan, F., S. Kamgar, M.A. Nematollahi, A.A. Golneshan, S.M. Nassiri, and M.A. Khaneghah (2021)**. Design, development, and performance evaluation of a ducted fan date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) pollinator. *Scientia Hort.* 277:109808, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109808>.
- [5] **Al-Yahyai, R. and M.M. Khan (2015)**. Date palm status and perspective in Oman, p. 207–240. In: J.M. Al-Khayri, S.M. Jain, D.V. Johnson (eds.). *Date palm genetic resources and utilization: Asia and Europe*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9707-8_6.
- [6] **Awad, M.A. (2006)**. Water spray as a potential thinning agent for date palm flowers (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) c.v. ‘Lulu’. *Scientia Hort.* 111:44–48, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2006.09.005>.
- [7] **Munir, M. (2019)**. Influence of liquid pollination technique on fruit yield and physico-chemical characteristics of date palm cultivars. *J. Biodivers. Environ. Sci.* 15:41–49.
- [8] **Salomon-Torres, R., R. Krueger, J.P. Garcia-Vazquez, R. Villa-Angulo, C. Villa-Angulo, N. Ortiz-Uribe, J.A. Sol-Uribe, and L. Samaniego-Sandoval (2021)**. Date palm pollen: Features, production, extraction and pollination methods. *Agron.* 11:504, <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11030504>.
- [9] **Shaaban, M.M.; E.F.S. Ahmed and M.M. El-Akkad (2019)**. Studies on the Improvement of Date Palm Productivity Using Different Methods of Pollination and Fruit Thinning Levels. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, Vol. 10 (4): 373 – 377.
- [10] **El-Salhy, A.M. A. A.B. Masoud Doaa S.E. El-Kassas Ezz G. Gadalla and Hafez K. Hassan (2021)** :Effect of pollination methods on yield and fruit quality of Barhy date palm under Aswan conditions *Assiut J. of Agric.Sci.*
- [11] **El-Salhy, A.M.; R.A.A. Mostafa; A.A. El-Banna and Y.M. Diab (2012)**. Effect of pollination methods and pollen grains dilution on bunch weight and fruit quality of Sewy date palm cultivar. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 43, 2: 119-131.
- [12] **Iqbal, M., M. Niamatullah and M. Munir (2012)**. Effect of various *Dactylifera* males

- pollinizer on pomological traits and economical yield index of cv's Shakri, Zahidi and Dhakki date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). J. Anim Plant Sci. 22: 376-383.
- [13] **Munir .M, M. R. Alhajhoj1 , A.A.M. Sallam , H. S. Ghazzawy and A. M. Al-Bahigan (2020)**. Fruit yield And Quality Response Of Date Palm Cultivar Khalas To Female Inflorescence Receptivity varied By Pollination Days Plant Archives 20 (2): 4007-4014
- [14] **Samouni-Mona, T.M.; A.M. El-Salhy; Ibtessam F.M. Badawy and E.F. Ahmed (2016)**. Effect of Pollination and Thinning Methods on Yield and Fruit Quality of Saidu Date Palms. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 47, 3: 92-103.
- [15] **El-Sharabasy, S.F.; Tahany Saber and Ghazzawy, H.S. (2020)**. Response of barhee date palm cultivar to different pollination methods. Plant Archives Volume 20 No. 2, 4001-4006.
- [16] **Kumawat, Rajendra Singh Rathore, Raksha Pal Singh and Sandeep Kumar (2022)**: Study the Effect of Different Pollination Techniques on Date Palm Production. Sugar Tech.24(6) :1887-1893
- [17] **Al-Wasfy. M.M.M. (2014)**. Yield and fruit quality of Zaghloul date palm in relation to using new technique of pollination. Stem Cell, 5, 1: 14-17.
- [18] **Mohammed A. S. Alyafei , Abdullah Al Dakheel , Mohamed Almoosa and Zienab F. R. Ahmed (2022)**: Innovative and Effective Spray Method for Artificial Pollination of Date Palm Using Drone HORTSCIENCE 57(10):1298–1305.
- [19] **Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1995)**. Official Methods of Analysis (A.O.A.C.), 4th Ed. Benjamin Franklin Station 490- 510.
- [20] **Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984)**. Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research. 2nd Ed. Wily, New York.
- [21] **Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, (1990)**. Statistical Methods 7th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames.
- [22] **Duncan, D.B. (1955)**. Moldable rang and moldable F-test. Biometrics. 11: 1-24.
- [23] **El-Salhy, A.; H.A. Abdel-Galil; A.A. El-Bana and E.F. Ahmed (2010)**. Effect of pollen grains suspensions spraying on yield and fruit quality of Saidu date palm

cultivar. Acta Horti. 882: 329-336.

- [24] **Abdalla, M.G.; A.M. El-Salhy and R.A.A. Mostafa (2011)**. Effect of some pollination treatments on fruiting of Zaghoul date palm cultivar under Assiut climatic condition. Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 42: 350-362.
- [25] **Awad, M.A. (2011)**. Pollination of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) cv. 'Lulu' with pollen grains-water suspension. Met. Env. Arid Land Agric. Sci. 22:91–101
- [26] **Elade, Y. (1992)**. The use of antioxidants for control gray mould (*Botrytic cinera*) and white mould (*Seierotinia scietotiorum*) in various crops. Plant Pathol., 141: 417- 426
- [27] **Rai, V.K. (2002)**. Role of amino acids in plant responses to stress, Biol. Plant, 45: 471-478.
- [28] **Tegeder, M., and Rentsch, D. (2010)**. Uptake and partitioning of amino acids and peptides. Molecular plant, 3(6), 997-1011.
- [29] **Khedr, E. (2018)**. Improving productivity, quality and antioxidant capacity of LeConte pear fruits using foliar tryptophan, arginine and salicylic applications. Egyptian Journal of Horticulture, 45(1): 93-103.
- [30] **El-Salhy, A.M.; Abou-Zaid-Eman A.A.; Diab Y.M.S. and Mohamed-Heba, A.M. (2017)**. Effect of antioxidants, growth regulators and yeast spraying on fruiting of Seewy date palms. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 48 (5): 178-186.
- [31] **Alnajjar, M.A., Alpresem, W.F., and Ibrahim, M.A. (2020)**. Effect of amino acid proline treatment on anatomical characteristics of leaves and roots of date palm seedlings *Phoenix dactylifera* L. developed under saline stress conditions. Plant Archives, 20(1), 755-760.

تأثير طرق التلقيح علي كفاءه التلقيح واثمار النخيل البلح البرحي

تعتبر عملية التلقيح من العمليات البستانية الضرورية ذات التأثير المباشر على نمو الثمار وجودتها وكذلك إنتاجية نخيل البلح. ويعد تطوير عمليات التلقيح التي من شأنها الحصول على نسبة عقد مرتفعة مع جودة عالية للثمار دون الحاجة لاستخدام كميات كبيرة من حبوب اللقاح أمراً ضرورياً وفعالاً لتحسين إنتاجية نخيل البلح. ولذا أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال مواسم 2021 و 2022 و 2023 على نخيل البلح البرحي النامية بالمزرعة البحثية لمحطة البحوث الزراعية في المطاعنه – أسنا – محافظه الاقصر -مصر حيث تضمنت الدراسة التلقيح تعغيرا بمسحوق حبوب اللقاح بتركيز 10 او 20 % عقب الرش بمحلول يحتوي علي حمض الستريك فقط او حمض البرولين بالاضافه الي ايا من حمض الستريك او حمض الاسكوريك او محلول السكري بتركيز 1000 جزء في المليون. وقد أظهرت النتائج أن

التلقيح تعفيراً بمسحوق حبوب اللقاح بتركيز 20 % عقب رش النورات المؤنثة بمحلول حمض البورلين والستريك اسيد او البورلين و المحلول السكري سبب تحسينا معنوياً في نسبة العقد الاولي والنهائي مما ادي الي زياده وزن السوباطه والمحصول لكل نخله اضافة الي تحسين الصفات الطبيعه للثمار من حيث زياده وزن الثمره ونسبه لحمها مع تقليل نسبه الرطوبه لها وكذلك تحسين الصفات الكيميائيه من حيث زياده محتوى الثمار من المواد الصلبه الذائبه الكليه ومحتوي السكر مع تقليل نسبه الحموضه والتانينات بالثمار مقارنة بالتلقيح التقليدي اليدوي و عليه تعتبر هذه طريقه جيده لانتاج محصول عال ذي خصائص ثماريه جيده فضلاً عن تقليل كمية حبوب اللقاح المستخدمه حيث تقلل من تكلفه الإنتاج وتحسين كفاءه التلقيح وخصائص الثمار.